

**ICF Consulting / Laboratory Data Consultants** 

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9 1337 South 46<sup>th</sup> Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698

Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304

88072796

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Nancy Riveland-Har

Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4

THROUGH:

Rose Fong

CY

**ESAT Project Officer** 

Quality Assurance (QA) Office, PMD-3

FROM:

Doug Lindelof

Data Review and OA Document Review Task Manager

Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: 68-W-01-028

Task Order No.: B01

Technical Direction No.: B0105086 Amendment 1

DATE:

April 9, 2002

SUBJECT:

Tier 1A Cover Memo for Omega Chem OU-2 Site, Case No. 11-BBCO-19.0, SDG Nos.

02B117 and 02B130, Water Samples for 1,4-Dioxane by SW-846 Method 8270C and

Selective Ion Monitoring.

A limited evaluation of the data package was performed to identify any key analytical issues/deficiencies affecting data quality. This evaluation approach is employed when in-depth data review is not required as indicated by the data user. During this limited evaluation, areas of concern were noted (see Lettered and Additional Comments).

The evaluation included: a review of the data package for completeness; review of the chain of custody forms (against laboratory reported information, for signatures, for sample condition upon receipt by the laboratory and for sample preservation); review of holding times; review of QC summaries; review of blanks for contamination; review of standards data; random check of reported results against raw data; random check of raw data for interference problems or system control problems (e.g. baseline anomalies, baseline drifts, etc.).

The following data quality issues should be noted:

- A. Results denoted with an "L" qualifier are estimated and flagged "J" in Tier 1A Table 1A. Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.
- B. Detected results for 1,4-dioxane in samples GW102-OW3-0080 and GW102-OW5-0048 are qualified as nondetected and estimated and flagged "U,J" in Tier 1A Table 1A due to laboratory method blank contamination.

## Additional Comments:

Sample GW102-OW1A-0080 was reanalyzed at a 564-fold dilution due to the high level of 1,4-dioxane. The 1,4-dioxane concentration from the diluted sample analysis is presented in Tier 1A Table 1A.

Tier 1A Table 1As are attached.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment.

SDG No.: 02B117

Tier 1A Table 1A

Site: Omega Chem OU-2

Lab: EMAX

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: April 9, 2002

QUALIFIED DATA Concentration in ug/L Analysis Type: Water Samples for 1,4-Dioxane

by EPA Method 8270C SIM

Station Location : Sample ID : Collection Date : Dilution Factor :	GW102-OW1A-0080 02/14/2002			02/14/2002			GW102-OW6-0055 02/15/2002 0.95			GW102-OW3-0080 02/15/2002 0.94			GW102-OW3-0080MS 02/15/2002 0.94		
Compound	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
1.4-Dioxane	16000	<i>*</i> 4	indiana	22			0.95∪				Material and	ĕВ	9.82	ĿŽ	
			Ž asi			* 4	* 12*	407.	. 6 5		M.	* 200			
	10 3000		No. of the last of		Spring.						- <b>3</b>		Special State of the State of t		

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Llmit, N/A - Not Applicable, NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank, TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample NR - Not reported

SDG No.: 02B117

Tier 1A Table 1A

Site: Omega Chem OU-2

Lab: EMAX

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: April 9, 2002

QUALIFIED DATA

Concentration in ug/L

Analysis Type: Water Samples for 1,4-Dioxane

by EPA Method 8270C SIM

Collection Date :	GW102-OW3-0080MSD 02/15/2002			Method Blank MBLK1W 1			CRQL				-				
Compound	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
1.4-Dioxañe	11.6			9.89L	J	A	<u> </u>				3.62				
					77.	<u>_</u> *3			******	The second secon			<i>.</i> 22.23	. <u> </u>	
			NE.		3 1		30.75	. Šelė	. 7			in dam	, w/22	39 8	

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Llmit, N/A - Not Applicable, NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank, TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample

NR - Not reported

SDG No.: 02B130

Tier 1A Table 1A

Site: Omega Chem OU-2

Lab: EMAX

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: April 9, 2002

QUALIFIED DATA

Concentration in ug/L

Analysis Type: Water Samples for 1,4-Dioxane

by EPA Method 8270C SIM

Station Location : Sample ID : Collection Date : Dilution Factor :	GW102-OW2-0078			02/15/2002			GW102-OW4B-0125 02/15/2002 0.94			GW102-OW4A-0073 02/15/2002 0.94			GW102-OW5-0048 02/15/2002 0.94		
Compound	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
1,4-Dioxane	0.940	ئىس. ن <b>ىغ</b> ىت		0.94U			0.940			4.6					В.
															azi i

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Llmit, N/A - Not Applicable, NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank, TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample NR - Not reported

SDG No.: 02B130

Tier 1A Table 1A

Site: Omega Chem OU-2

Lab: EMAX

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

**QUALIFIED DATA** 

Analysis Type: Water Samples for 1,4-Dioxane

Date: April 9, 2002

Concentration in ug/L

by EPA Method 8270C SIM

1	Method Blank MBLK1W)			CRQL											
Compound	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
114-Dioxane	0.89L	<u>"Ĵ</u>	Ā	图。	<u> </u>			## * 	<u>,</u>		2.2				
		<u>ii.</u>	<u> </u>		<del></del>					- Control		1977 P.		<u> </u>	
		ing <del>ay</del>	- 150 - 150 - 150		- 10 mm - 10 m			\$ . \$.	· militari			and the second			1.7.4

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Llmit, N/A - Not Applicable, NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank, TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample

NR - Not reported

## **TABLE 1B**

## DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review," February 1994.

- U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.